

removing said layer of material where said resist is removed to leave on said electronic device a bilayer of said novolak material and said resist having a pattern therein having regions within which said surface of said electronic device is exposed.

REMARKS

Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of any changes to the claims and the remarks herein. Please contact the undersigned to conduct a telephone interview in accordance with MPEP 713.01 to resolve any remaining requirements and/or issues prior to sending another Office Action. Relevant portions of MPEP 713.01 are included on the signature page of this amendment.

Typographical errors not previously noted by the Examiner have been corrected on claims 1, 2 and 18.

Two claims 8 were originally filed. Since the Examiner has not noted this nor objected to this and since claim 8 has been elected for examination, the second claim 8 has been rewritten as claim 19. The Specification has been amended to include the original text of claim 18 as filed as the last paragraph of the Summary of the Invention at page 8.

In view of the changes to the claims and the remarks herein, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the above-identified application. If the Examiner wishes to discuss the application further, or if additional information would be required, the undersigned will cooperate fully to assist in the prosecution of this application.

Please charge any fee necessary to enter this paper and any previous paper to deposit account 09-0468.

If the above-identified Examiner's Action is a final Action, and if the above-identified application will be abandoned without further action by applicants, applicants file a Notice of Appeal to the Board of Appeals and Interferences appealing the final rejection of the claims in the above-identified Examiner's Action. Please charge deposit account 09-0468 any fee necessary to enter such Notice of Appeal.

In the event that this amendment does not result in allowance of all such claims, the undersigned attorney respectfully requests a telephone interview at the Examiner's earliest convenience.

MPEP 713.01 states in part as follows:

Where the response to a first complete action includes a request for an interview or a telephone consultation to be initiated by the examiner, ... the examiner, as soon as he or she has considered the effect of the response, should grant such request if it appears that the interview or consultation would result in expediting the case to a final action.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Dr. Daniel P. Morris, Esq.
Reg. No. 32,053

IBM Corporation
Intellectual Property Law Dept.
P. O. Box 218
Yorktown Heights, New York 10598
Telephone No.: (914) 945-3217

Serial No. 09/256,034

5

Docket No. YO998-056